Dong, Randall

From: The McMilions <emcmilion3@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:13 AM

To: Dong, Randall

Cc: PSC_Contact; Nelson, Jeff; Heather Smith; Rebecca J. Dulin; Samuel Wellborn;

fellerbe@robinsongray.com; PSC_Legal Department; PSC_Clerk's Office Distribution

Group; PSC_Technical Advisory

Subject: Re: [External] Re: Your request for rehearing in Docket No. 2019-331-E

Mr. Dong,

Thank you for the reply. In our last correspondence I asked two questions: 1). If the full order for dismissal is not yet issued, how is it DECLLC is sending a meter technician with two armed police escorts 21 hours after Mr. Ervins motion with the intention of changing the meter absent my consent? 2). If this matter is not fully adjudicated will you or someone in your office please have DECLLC stand down with the harassment and intimidation until the final determination or judgement has been issued, and I can start the appeals process?

I would like to clarify my questions to give you a good faith opportunity to be responsive:

DECLLC is under the jurisdiction of the SC.PSC.

Question: DECLLC is violating codes regarding written notices (among others), How are these codes enforced, and are there penalties for DECLLC's repeated violations? What assurances do I have as well as all South Carolina citizens to prevent DECLLC from showing up at residences unannounced when not an emergency?

Question: Did DECLLC get permission from the SC.PSC for the police escorts? And if so, what guidelines are inplace, and what criteria are used to determine and warrant a police escort.

Question: If this matter is not fully adjudicated will you or someone in your office please have DECLLC stand down with the harassment and intimidation until the final determination or judgement has been issued, and I can start the appeals process?. This question needs a response, these unannounced visits to alter/amend/modify a service agreement contract do not coincide with utility easement rights and are tantamount to trespass as well as civil torts. Furthermore it creates a safety issue. I have two overly friendly dogs who are always excited to see new people. It is possible, albeit improbable, that the dogs can dart past us from the front door to greet two armed police officers and a meter technician. Police officers are trained to err on the side of caution, and it is a real world possibility that my dogs will be shot and killed to ensure officer safety. If a notification (as per code) is given I have ample opportunity to put them in their kennels and eliminate any possibility of chance. Twice now DECLLC has used taxpayer funded South Carolina law enforcement as a protection detail, again from a man that has made no threats, has zero history of violence, and has repeatedly stated that my actions in these matters are in the interest of protecting the rights of all South Carolina citizens, including meter technicians. I feel that I am being portrayed to law enforcement as a threat to others safety, which is the furthest from the truth, and down right reckless. slander is the legal term for the act of harming a person's reputation by telling one or more other people something that is untrue and damaging about that person. Will you or someone in your office please have DECLLC stand down with the harassment and intimidation until the final determination or judgement has been issued, and I can start the appeals process? I would also like this letter to appear in the filings for 2019-331-E.

Regards,

Enrique

McMilion Jr.

On Mon, Jun 1, 2020 at 10:14 AM Dong, Randall < Randall.Dong@psc.sc.gov > wrote:

Dear Mr. McMilion:

Thanks for advising me of the current situation. I appreciate you copying all parties on your email, and I am sure that the Company's representatives have received your message. I expect the Commission to issue the final order soon.

Respectfully,

Randall Dong

From: The McMilions < emcmilion3@gmail.com >

Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:59 AM

To: Dong, Randall < Randall.Dong@psc.sc.gov >; PSC_Contact < Contact@psc.sc.gov >

Cc: Nelson, Jeff < inelson@ors.sc.gov >; Heather Smith < heather.smith@duke-energy.com >; Rebecca J. Dulin

<<u>Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com</u>>; Samuel Wellborn <<u>swellborn@robinsongray.com</u>>; <u>fellerbe@robinsongray.com</u>;

PSC Legal Department < PSC LegalDepartment@bcbad.state.sc.us>; PSC Clerk's Office Distribution Group

<clerk'soffice@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Technical Advisory <psctechnicaladvisory@psc.sc.gov>

Subject: [External] Re: Your request for rehearing in Docket No. 2019-331-E

Mr. Dong,

If the full order for dismissal is not yet issued, how is it DECLLC is sending a meter technician with two armed police escorts 21 hours after Mr. Ervins motion with the intention of changing the meter absent my consent? (please see attached) DECLLC has a pattern of ignoring codes regarding notices 103-381, 103-352, and 103-345, among many others. Several times in the hope that I was not home so they can install a digital without my consent, and then claim consent was implied,, and on two occasions sending armed police escorts to be involved in this civil matter, claiming a concern for their safety, from a man that has made no threats, has zero history of violence, and has repeatedly stated that my actions in these matters are in the interest of protecting the rights of all South Carolina citizens, including meter technicians. I have treated the opposition, and all public servants with courtesy and respect to the best of my knowledge, and to be portrayed as a dangerous person that merits an armed protection detail, is not only dangerous to me and my family, but I believe is a bully tactic employed by DECLLC and its employees to intimidate me. The first instance of law enforcement interaction was in 2018 when officer Saxon was called to the scene when I did not give consent to the meter technician. Officer Saxon has since resigned from the force after body slamming his police k-9, and breaking his bones. At the time Mr. Saxon was deployed to my residence he was under investigation for steroid abuse, standing over 6 feet 3 inches tall, and easily 250lbs of solid muscle I doubt it was just a coincidence Mr. Saxon was the officer chosen to arrive on the scene. The second interaction involved officers Wilkinson and Waters. Officer Wilkinson is a former professional wrestler weighing in at a solid 325 lbs intimidating to say the least. In both of these interactions the officers conducted themselves professionally, but given the intimidating presence and no justification for police involvement my heart was racing and my mouth was dry while trying to prove my case that I have every right to refuse these meters absent terms and conditions, while at the same time not appearing confrontational to them. If this matter is not fully adjudicated will you or someone in your office please have DECLLC stand down with the harassment and intimidation until the final determination or judgement has been issued, and I can start the appeals process? I would also like this letter to appear in the filings for 2019-331-E.

	Regards,
McMilion Jr.	Enrique
On Fri, May 29, 2020 at 10:57 AM Dong, Randall < Randall.Dong@psc.sc.gov wrote:	
Dear Mr. McMilion:	
Thank you for your filing of May 18, 2020 requesting rehearing in your complaint against Duke Energy writing to let you know that your filing is premature, since the Commission has not yet issued its full dismissal. I expect that will happen soon. Your motion is governed by Regulation No. 103-854, which you may file a petition for rehearing or reconsideration within 20 days of receiving the Commission' order. Consistent with the applicable regulation, and to ensure that you have had a full opportunity Commission's detailed order, the Commission will wait for your filing after issuance of its order before your request.	order of th provides that s to respond to the
Respectfully,	
B. Randall Dong	
Staff Counsel	
Public Service Commission of South Carolina	
Synergy Business Park, Saluda Building	
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100	
Columbia, South Carolina 29210-8412	

(803) 896-5100